

**CS 415 Signature Project—Spring 2025**  
**Draft Feedback/Grading for the CS-Specific-Programs and Report**

**Name:**

**Total Points ( of 100 points)**

**Programs and Output ( of 50 points)**

**Program and Output: Component 1 ( of 11 points)**

- Program (Completeness, Correctness, Clarity, Etc.)
  
- Program Output (Clarity, Labeling of Data, Completeness, Etc.)

**Program and Output: Component 2 ( of 13 points) (Assignment: 2A, 2B, 2C, or 2D)**

- Program (Completeness, Correctness, Clarity, Etc.)
  
- Program Output (Clarity, Labeling of Data, Completeness, Etc.)

**Program and Output: Component 3 ( of 13 points) (Assignment: 3A, 3B, or 3C)**

- Program (Completeness, Correctness, Clarity, Etc.)
  
- Program Output (Clarity, Labeling of Data, Completeness, Etc.)

**Program and Output: Component 4 ( of 13 points) (Assignment: 4A, 4B, or 4C)**

- Program (Completeness, Correctness, Clarity, Etc.)
  
- Program Output (Clarity, Labeling of Data, Completeness, Etc.)

**Report ( of 50 points)**

**Introduction ( of 6 points)**

- Description of topic/themes ( of 3 points)
- Identification of Report structure ( of 3 points)

**Specific Questions: Component 1 ( of 4 points)**

**Specific Questions: Component 2 ( of 4 points)**

**Specific Questions: Component 3 ( of 4 points)**

**Specific Questions: Component 4 ( of 4 points)**

**Discussion of Motivating Question 1 ( of 5 points)**

**Discussion of Motivating Question 2 ( of 5 points)**

**Discussion of Motivating Question 3 ( of 5 points)**

**Discussion of Motivating Question 4 ( of 5 points)**

**Writing/Presentation ( of 8 points)**

Report is well organized, headers identify sections, paragraphs are coherent, writing clear and reasonably efficient.

(Note: Penalties possible for egregious spelling errors, multiple grammatical errors, multiple sentence fragments, other repeated errors with elements of English composition.)

**CS 415 Signature Project—Spring 2025**  
**Draft Feedback/Grading for the Self-Reflection**

The Self-Reflection assignments asks for thoughtful responses to 6 questions.

- In grading, the entire assignment counts 50 points, so the response to each question counts 8 or 9 points.
- A Self-Reflection asks for an individual's viewpoint, so the quality of a response depends on the level of analysis, insight, and thoughtfulness—not on whether one's perspective agrees or disagrees with the practice of a course or an overall curriculum.
- Put together, each question will be evaluated on whether the response is
  - A full paragraph (at least 6 solid sentences) that indicate considerable thought,
  - A short paragraph [or a long paragraph with noticeable repetition]
  - An [rather] abbreviated or [somewhat] superficial statement, or
  - A minimal paragraph with little content or substance.

**Name:**

**Grading Total ( of 50 points)**

**Insights/understandings Gained from CS 415 ( of 8 points)**

useful reflection

**Most Challenging Parts of this Course and/or this Signature Project ( of 9 points)**

worthwhile perspective

**Content Connections Between CS 415 and Other CS Courses at SSU ( of 9 points)**

helpful perspective and input for the departmental

**Ways You Have Grown as a CS-interested Individual at SSU ( of 9 points)**

reasonable discussion

**Three Important Things You Have Learned from CS Courses at SSU ( of 9 points)**

0 points: no topics identified

3 points: 1 topic identified

6 points: 2 distinct topics identified

9 points: 3 distinct topics identified

**1-3 Suggestions to Improve CS Program at SSU ( of 6 points)**

1: direct statement of positives (but without suggestions)

2: one thoughtful suggestion

4: two thoughtful suggestions

6: three thoughtful suggestions